

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,201	01/18/2002	Linus G. Fonkwe	4700-083 (04700.0467.4)	7237
30166	7590 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
WOMBLE C	CARLYLE SANDRIE	KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY		
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENSBORO, NC 27401		1623		

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,201	FONKWE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-12,14-24,26-32,35-45 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>62-93</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-12,14,15,24-32,35-37 and</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-23 and 38-43</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  94-99 is/are rejected.	cation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Art Unit: 1623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed March 05, 2004 has been received, entered and carefully considered. The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application:

- 1. Claims 13, 33, 34 and 46-61 have been canceled.
- 2. Claims 1, 16, 24 and 84 have been amended.
- 3. Remarks drawn to claim objection and rejections under 35 USC 103

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14-24, 26-32, 35-45 and 62-99 are pending in the case.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Objections

The objection to claim 9 is rendered moot by cancellation of the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The allowability of claims 13 and 34 in the previous office action has been withdrawn and the following rejections are made of record.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14, 15, 24-32, 35-37 and 94-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanner (WO 01/03677) in combination with Gilleland et al (WO 01/91721), Michaud et al (US 6143324) and Gilleland (US 6607748) of record.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 11-12, 14, 15, 24-32, 35-37 and 94-99 are drawn to film forming compositions comprising specific percentages/percent ranges of iota carrageenan, kappa

Art Unit: 1623

carrageenan, bulking agent, water and plasticizer, wherein the plasticizer is sorbitol and the bulking agent is modified starch.

Tanner et al drawn to film forming compositions, teach compositions comprising kappa/iota carrageenans, water, modified starch, and glycerin (plasticizer). Kappa carrageenan is present in the range 1 to 5.56%; iota carrageenan is present in the range 5 to 9%; water in the range 46.5 to 80%, starch (pure cote B) is 27.3% and glycerol is present in 15 and 8.3%.

Gilleland et al drawn to film forming compositions teach formulations having starch (used as a thickener), kappa carrageenan, sorbitol (plasticizer) and water (example 8, page 11) and another composition wherein the ratio of kappa and iota carrageenan is 50:50 (example 10,page 13). This combination produces a film which is stronger. Gilleland also states that a mixture of iota and kappa carrageenan should make the films easier to handle and easier seal and that blending kappa with iota carrageenan allows for higher solids while maintaining manageable viscosity. The compositions of the invention are formed by combining the dry solids, slurrying in water and then heating the mixture and forming ribbons (films) and then forming coating or a capsule shell (see page 6, lines 9-25)

However, Tanner and Gilleland do not mention that starch used in their compositions is for bulking purposes.

Michaud et al et al drawn to use of starch in capsules, discloses that fillers can also act as bulking agents and in many cases this may be done using a binder and that starch is used for this purpose (col. 2, lines 8-33).

Lenaerts et al teach that starch has many uses and can act as a diluent, filler, carrier, binder, disintegrant, coating and thickener (col. 1, lines 55-60).

Art Unit: 1623

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a film forming composition using a mixture of iota and kappa carrageenan and starch/modified starch as a bulking agent since the ingredients and their functions are seen to be disclosed in the prior art. One of ordinary skill in the art also knows that esterified starch is modified starch. Hence substituting esterified starch reads on modified starch as instantly claimed. It is also well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the ratio of the bulking agent and the kappa and iota carrageenans inorder to optimize the characteristics of the films produced using the same.

One or ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so since Gilleland's teaching shows that a mixture comprising 1:1 kappa and iota carrageenan, starch, sorbitol and water gives a film which is strong and easy to handle and seal and also has a manageable viscosity. Hence optimizing the ratio of the ingredients would give a composition that would be ideal for use in making capsule shells.

## Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicants argue that the instant composition does not teach or suggest compositions comprising kappa carrageenan in an amount less than 100% by weight of the iota carrageenan in combination with other components claimed.

Tanner et al teach compositions comprising kappa/iota carageenan, water, modified starch (esterified starch is seen to come under the definition of modified starch since the claim 1 does not define how the starch is modified). The range of kappa carrageenan present in Tanner's composition (1 to 5.56%) and iota carrageenan (5 to 9%) is seen to read on the

Art Unit: 1623

limitation "kappa carrageenan in an amount less than 100% by weight of the iota carrageenan".

This teaching in combination with the teachings of the other prior art cited above is seen to fairly suggest the compositions as instantly claimed.

### Conclusion

- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14, 15, 24-32, 35-37 and 94-99 are rejected.
- 2. Claims 16-23 and 38-43 drawn to compositions with a specified viscosity range, moisture content and tensile strength are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Claims 62-93 which are drawn to a method of preparing the compositions is allowable since the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the specific order in which the ingredients are mixed and heated to form the said composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 571-272-0654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GK

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER